Quantum Noise Limited and Entanglement-Assisted Magnetometry
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We study experimentally the fundamental limits of sensitivity of an atomic radio-frequency magnetometer. First, we apply an optimal sequence of state preparation, evolution, and the backaction evading measurement to achieve a nearly projection noise limited sensitivity. We furthermore experimentally demonstrate that Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen entanglement of atoms generated by a measurement enhances the sensitivity to pulsed magnetic fields. We demonstrate this quantum limited sensing in a magnetometer utilizing a truly macroscopic ensemble of $1.5 \times 10^{12}$ atoms which allows us to achieve subfemtotesla/√Hz sensitivity.
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Ultrasmesitive atomic magnetometry is based on the measurement of the polarization rotation of light transmitted through an ensemble of atoms placed in the magnetic field [1]. For $N_A$ atoms in a state with the magnetic quantum number $m_f = F$ along a quantization axis $x$ the collective magnetic moment (spin) of the ensemble has the length $J_x = FN_A$. A magnetic field along the $y$ axis causes a rotation of $J$ in the $x$-$z$ plane. Polarization of light propagating along $z$ will be rotated proportional to $J_z$ due to the Faraday effect. From a quantum mechanical point of view, this measurement is limited by quantum fluctuations (shot noise) of light, the projection noise (PN) of atoms, and the quantum backaction noise of light onto atoms. PN originates from the Heisenberg uncertainty relation $\delta J_x \delta J_y \simeq J_z/2$, and corresponds to the minimal transverse spin variances $\delta J_{x/y}^2 = J_z/2 = FN_A/2$ for uncorrelated atoms in a coherent spin state [2]. Quantum entanglement leads to the reduction of the atomic noise below PN and hence is capable of enhancing the sensitivity of metrology and sensing as discussed theoretically in [2–9]. In [10,11] entanglement of a few ions have been used in spectroscopy. Recently proof-of-principle measurements with larger atomic ensembles, which go beyond the PN limit have been implemented in interferometry with $10^3$ atoms [12], in Ramsey spectroscopy [13,14] with up to $10^9$ atoms, and in Faraday spectroscopy with $10^9$ spin polarized cold atoms [15].

In this Letter we demonstrate PN-limited and entanglement-assisted measurement of a radio-frequency (rf) magnetic field by an atomic cesium vapor magnetometer. In the magnetometer $\vec{J}$ precesses at the Larmor frequency $\Omega/2\pi = 322$ kHz around a dc field $B = 0.92$ G applied along the $z$ axis and an rf field with the frequency $\Omega$ is applied in the $y$-$z$ plane [Fig. 1(a)]. The magnetometer [Fig. 2(a)] detects an rf pulse with a constant amplitude $B_{RF}$ and duration $\tau$ (Fourier limited full width half maximum bandwidth $\delta = 0.88\tau^{-1} \sim \tau^{-1}$). The mean value of the projection of the atomic spin on the $y$-$z$ plane in the rotating frame after the rf pulse is $\langle B_{RF}\hat{J}_z T_2^*=1-\exp(-\tau/T_2)\rangle/2$. Here $T_2$ is the spin decoherence time during the rf pulse and $\Gamma = \Omega/B = 2.2 \times 10^{10}$ rad/(sec·Tesla) for caesium. Equating the mean value to the PN uncertainty we get for the minimal detectable field under the PN-limited measurement

$$B_{min} = \left[\Gamma^2 FN_A/2T_2(1-e^{-\tau/T_2})\right]^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

The PN-limited sensitivity to the magnetic field is then $B_{min}\sqrt{\tau}$, and equals the standard deviation of the measured magnetic field which can be achieved by using repeated measurements with a total duration of $1$ s. The best sensitivity to the $B_{RF}$ with a given $\delta$ is achieved with the narrow atomic bandwidth: $\delta = \tau^{-1} \leq T_2^{-1}$. A long $T_2$ also helps to take advantage of the entanglement of atoms. Entangled states are fragile and have a shorter lifetime $T_{2E} < T_2$ that is for broadband rf fields, entanglement improves the sensitivity. Similar conclusions have been reached theoretically for atomic clocks in [3,5] and for dc magnetometry in [4].

In our experiment a long magnetometer coherence time $T_2 > 30$ msec is achieved by using paraffin coated caesium cells at around room temperature [16], and by the time resolved quantum spin state preparation (optical pumping), evolution, and measurement. In this way $T_2$ is not reduced by the optical pumping and/or measurement-induced decoherence during the time when magnetic field is applied. PN-limited sensitivity requires, besides elimination of the technical noise, the reduction of the backaction noise of the measurement and of the shot noise of the probe light.

The backaction noise comes from the Stark shift imposed by quantum fluctuations of light polarization on $J_{z}^{lab}$ in the laboratory frame when $J_{z}^{lab}$ is measured. When atoms are exposed to an oscillating magnetic field $J_{z}^{lab}$ and $J_{y}^{lab}$ experience Larmor precession, and hence both of them accumulate the backaction noise [17,18]. As proposed in [17] the effect of this noise can be canceled if two atomic ensembles with orientations $J_x$ and $-J_x$ are used.
Figure 2(a) presents the sketch of the magnetometer layout which is used for the backaction evading measurement of the $B_{\text{RF}}$, and Fig. 3 shows the level schemes for the two atomic ensembles.

To read out the atomic spin, which carries information about the rf magnetic field, we utilize polarized light. The quantum state of light is described by the Stokes operators $\hat{S}_1$, $\hat{S}_2$, and $\hat{S}_3$. The relevant observables are the $\cos / \sin \Omega$ Fourier components of $\hat{S}_2$ integrated over the pulse duration $T$ with a suitable exponential mode function $\hat{S}_{2x} \propto \int_0^T \hat{S}_2(t) \cos(\Omega t) e^{-2\gamma t}$, $\hat{S}_{2y}$ is similarly defined by replacing cosine with sine. The operators $\hat{S}_{2x}$ and $\hat{S}_{2y}$ are experimentally measured by homodyning and lock-in detection [Fig. 2(a)].

The theory of interaction between the probe light and a vapor of spin-polarized alkali atoms has been developed in [19,20]. After the probe has interacted with the two atomic ensembles, the output Stokes operator (normalized for a coherent state as $\text{Var}(S) = \Phi/2$, with $\Phi$ being the photon number per pulse) is given by [20]

$$\hat{S}_{2x}^{\text{out}} = \hat{S}_{2x}^{\text{in}} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2 \kappa^2 + \kappa \frac{\Phi}{2 FN_A} (J_2^m + J_2^-)}, \quad (2)$$

with the equation for $\hat{S}_{2x}^{\text{out}}$ obtained by the replacement $J_2^m \rightarrow J_2^m$. The output Stokes operator is defined with an exponentially falling mode function $e^{-2\gamma t}$, and the input Stokes operator is defined with a rising mode $e^{+2\gamma t}$ [20].

Figure 3 (color online). Level scheme and optical fields used in the magnetometer. The input probe light polarized orthogonally to the magnetic field (solid arrows) is blue detuned by $\Delta = 850 \text{ MHz}$ from the $F = 4 \rightarrow F = 5$ hyperfine component of the D2 line at 852 nm. Forward scattering of photons into modes $a_{\pm}$ leads to polarization rotation and swapping interaction described in the text. The two diagrams correspond to the two oppositely polarized cells using which leads to cancellation of the back-action of light onto atoms, as explained in the text.
where \( \gamma_{\text{tot}} \) is the decay rate of the transverse atomic spin components. \( \kappa = \xi^{-1} \sqrt{1 - \exp(-2\gamma_{\text{swap}} T)} \) is the light-atom coupling constant, \( \gamma_{\text{swap}} \) is proportional to intensity of light and density of atoms, and \( \xi = \sqrt{14\alpha_2/\alpha_1} \), where \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_1 \) are the tensor and vector polarizabilities. For our probe detuning \( \Delta = 850 \text{ MHz} \), \( \xi^2 = 1/6.3 \). In the two-cell setup \( S_{2c} \) and \( S_{2s} \) contain information about the commuting rotating frame operators \( \hat{j}_{\gamma+} = \hat{j}_{\gamma+}^{\text{in}} + \hat{j}_{\gamma+}^{\text{out}} \) and \( \hat{j}_{\gamma+} = \hat{j}_{\gamma+}^{\text{in}} + \hat{j}_{\gamma+}^{\text{out}} \), simultaneously, which are displaced by \( B_{\text{RF}} \).

The atomic spin operator \( J_{z+} \) after the interaction is given by

\[
\hat{j}_{z+}^{\text{tot}} = (\hat{j}_{z+}^{\text{in}} + \hat{j}_{z+}^{\text{out}}) \sqrt{1 - \xi^2 \kappa^2} = \xi^2 \kappa \sqrt{\frac{2FN_A}{\Phi}} S_{2c}.
\]

(3)

In the case of \( \gamma_{\text{swap}} T \ll 1 \), corresponding to either rather large probe detuning \( \Delta \), or to a relatively small photon number \( \Phi \), as in [17,21], Eq. (2) and (3) reduce to the quantum nondemolition (QND) measurement, where \( J_{\gamma+} \) and \( J_{\gamma+} \) are conserved. Here we implement a strong measurement limit, \( \gamma_{\text{swap}} T = 1 \) in which the light and atoms nearly swap their quantum states. Indeed, under this condition the first terms in Eq. (2) and (3) are strongly suppressed. The exponential suppression of the probe shot noise contribution to the signal with time and with the optical depth \( d \) in Eq. (2) allows approaching the PN-limited sensitivity faster than in the QND measurement case where this contribution would stay constant.

The PN limit can be approached if the total rate of the decay of the atomic coherence \( \gamma_{\text{tot}} \) is dominated by the coherent swap rate \( \gamma_{\text{swap}} \). In the experiment we obtained \( \gamma_{\text{swap}} = 0.43 \text{ ms}^{-1} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{tot}} = 0.50 \text{ ms}^{-1} \) with a 15 mW probe detuned by 850 MHz and \( N_A = 2 \times 7.2(7) \times 10^{11} \) corresponding to the effective resonant optical depth \( d = 75 \). The residual decoherence rate due to the spontaneous emission rate \( \gamma_1 \), collisions, and magnetic dephasing is therefore 0.07 ms\(^{-1}\). Note that \( \gamma_{\text{swap}} \gamma_1 \gg \gamma_{\text{tot}} \). The probe losses are dominated by reflection on cell walls and on detection optics, since its absorption in the gas is negligible.

Raw results for a series of measurements of \( S_{2s}^{\text{out}} \) obtained at 32 °C corresponding to \( N_A = 2 \times 7.2(7) \times 10^{11} \) are presented in Fig. 1(b). \( N_A \) is monitored via \( J_o = 4N_A \) measured by the Faraday rotation angle 19° of an auxiliary probe beam sent along the x axis, and from the degree of spin polarization >98%, as determined from the magneto-optical resonance [22]. The experimental sensitivity is \( B_{\text{RF}} = \sqrt{\gamma_{\text{SNR}}^2}/\text{SNR} = 3.6(4) \times 10^{-16} \text{ T}/\text{Hz} \), where the signal to noise ratio \( \text{SNR} = 12.3 \) is found from the data in Fig. 1(b) as the ratio of the mean to the standard deviation of the data (red points) obtained for \( B_{\text{RF}} = 36(3) \text{ mT} \) applied during \( \tau = 15 \text{ msec} \) via a calibrated rf coil. The optimal temporal mode function for this measurement has \( \gamma_{\text{opt}} = 2\gamma_{\text{tot}} = 1.0 \text{ ms}^{-1} \). This sensitivity is ~30% above the theoretical PN-limited sensitivity \( 2.7(5) \times 10^{-16} \text{ T}/\text{Hz} \) found from [Eq. (1)] using the measured value of \( T_2 = 32 \text{ ms} \). Using this sensitivity we can calibrate the values in Fig. 1(b) in PN units. The difference between the two sensitivities is due to the residual contribution of the shot noise of the probe [first term in Eq. (2)], the decay of the atomic state during the rf pulse and the classical fluctuations of the atomic spins.

The experimental sensitivity \( B_{\text{RF}} = \sqrt{T}/\text{SNR} = 4.2(8) \times 10^{-14} \text{ T}/\text{Hz} \) calculated using the full measurement cycle time \( T \) including the duration of optical pumping (6 ms), probing (1.5 ms) and \( \tau = 22 \text{ ms} \) (Fourier limited bandwidth ~40 Hz) approaches the best to-date atomic rf magnetometry sensitivity [23] obtained with 10⁴ times more atoms. This is to be expected since PN-limited magnetometry yields the best possible sensitivity per atom achievable without entanglement.

We now turn to the entanglement-assisted magnetometry. As first demonstrated in [17] the measurement of the Stokes operators \( S_{2c}^{\text{out}} \) can generate the state of atoms in the two cells which fulfills the Einstein—Podolsky—Rosen (EPR) entanglement condition for two atomic ensembles with macroscopic spins \( J_i \) and \(-J_i\); \( \Sigma_{\text{EPR}} = [\text{Var}(\hat{J}_{z+}^{\text{in}} + \hat{J}_{z+}^{\text{out}}) + \text{Var}(\hat{J}_{z+}^{\text{in}} + \hat{J}_{z+}^{\text{out}})]/2J_i < 1 \). This inequality means that the atomic spin noise which enters in Eq. (2) is suppressed below the PN level corresponding to the coherent spin state. The degree of entanglement and the sensitivity are optimized by choosing suitable rising and falling probe modes \( e^{z \gamma t} \) for the first and second probe modes shown in Fig. 2(c).

In order to demonstrate entanglement of atoms, we need to calibrate the PN level. Above, we have calculated the atomic noise in PN units with 20% uncertainty using [Eq. (1)]. However, this uncertainty coming from the uncertainties of \( N_A \) and \( B_{\text{RF}} \) is too high and we therefore apply the following calibration method. The collective spin operator \( \hat{J}_z = \hat{J}_{z1} - \hat{J}_{z2} \) after the interaction with a probe pulse is given by [20]:

\[
\hat{j}_{z+}^{\text{tot}} = (\hat{j}_{z+}^{\text{in}} - \hat{j}_{z+}^{\text{out}}) \sqrt{1 - \xi^2 \kappa^2} + \kappa \sqrt{\frac{2FN_A}{\Phi}} S_{2s}.
\]

Using an electro-optical modulator we create a certain average value of \( S_{2s}^{\text{in}} \) and then calibrate it in units of shot noise \( \sqrt{\Phi/2} \) by homodyne detection. We determine \( \kappa^2 \) for a certain light power \( P \approx 8 \text{ mW} \) by first sending a 1 msc pulse with \( \langle S_{2s}^{\text{in}} \rangle = \sqrt{\Phi/2} \), which is mapped on the atoms. We then flip the sign of \( J_{z2} \) and \( J_{z3} \) by momentarily increasing the dc magnetic field and send a second 1 msc light pulse. Now \( \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle = \langle \hat{J}_{z1} \rangle - 2 \hat{J}_{z2} \rangle \) by momentarily increasing the dc magnetic field and send a second 1 msc light pulse. Now \( \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle \) is mapped on \( \langle S_{2s}^{\text{out}} \rangle \), following Eq. (2), and the measurement on the second pulse yields \( \langle S_{2s}^{\text{out}} \rangle = \kappa^2 \). Using \( \kappa^2 \) and the detection efficiency \( \eta = 0.8 \) we can calculate the atomic noise in projection noise units from the measured noise \( \text{Var}(S_{2s}^{\text{out}}) \) using Eq. (2). With a known value of \( \kappa \), we can now calibrate the atomic displacement \( \langle \hat{J}_{z1} \rangle \rangle \) and \( \langle \hat{J}_{z2} \rangle \rangle \) caused by a particular \( B_{\text{RF}} \) in units of PN as follows. The mean value of the homodyne signal is

\[
\langle S_{2s}^{\text{out}} \rangle = \kappa \sqrt{\eta \Phi/2FN_A} (\langle \hat{J}_{z1} \rangle + \langle \hat{J}_{z2} \rangle) + i(\langle \hat{J}_{z1} \rangle - \langle \hat{J}_{z2} \rangle).
\]

From this expression the atomic displacements in units of...
To the best of our knowledge, our results present entanglement-assisted metrology with the highest to-date number of atoms. This results in the absolute sensitivity at the subfemtotesla/√Hz level, which is comparable to the sensitivity of the state-of-the-art atomic magnetometer [23] operating with orders of magnitude more atoms. The two-cell setup can also serve as the magnetic gradientmeter if the direction of the probe in the second cell is flipped or if the rf pulse is applied to one cell only. Increasing the size of the cells to a 5 cm cube should yield the sensitivity of the order of $5 \times 10^{-17}$ T/√Hz which approaches the sensitivity of the best superconducting SQUID magnetometer [24]. The degree of entanglement $\Sigma_{\text{EPR}}$ can, in principle, reach the ratio of the tensor to vector polarizabilities $\xi^2$ which is 0.16 or $-8$ dB for our experiment, and can be even higher for a farther detuned probe. This limit has not been achieved in the present experiment due to various decoherence effects, including spontaneous emission and collisions. Increasing the size of the cells may help to reduce some of those effects since a larger optical depth will then be achieved for a given density of atoms.
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PN $\sqrt{\mathrm{FN}_N}$ can be found using the values of $\kappa$, $\eta$ and the shot noise $\Phi/2$. Once we know the atomic displacement in units of PN for the rf pulse with a certain duration and amplitude we can utilize this to find $\kappa$ and hence the PN level for probe pulses with any light power (most of the measurements were done with a probe power $P \approx 14$ mW) from the mean value of the homodyne signal corresponding to the rf pulse with the same duration and amplitude.

Entanglement is generated in our magnetometer by the entangling light pulse applied before the rf pulse in the two-pulse time sequence [Fig. 2(c)]. Figure 4(a) shows the magnetometer noise $\text{Var}(S_N^{\text{out}})$ including the light noise and the atomic noise contributions in units of shot noise of the 15 mW probe. As a function of the rf pulse duration for entangled atoms and for atoms in the initial state. For these measurements $k^2 = 3.1$ which is consistent with Fig. 4(a) since, according to Eq. (2), for PN-limited measurement $k^2$ is equal to the total noise 3.6 less the light noise 0.5.

Directly calculate both the initial level of the atomic noise and the EPR variance $\Sigma_{\text{EPR}}$. Knowing $k^2$ we find the initial noise level in PN units to be 1.10(8). The best noise reduction below PN of about $-1.5$ dB ($-30\%$) is obtained for short rf pulses corresponding to the rf bandwidth $\delta_{RF} \approx 1$ kHz. Figure 4(b) illustrates the improvement in the sensitivity $B_0/\sqrt{T}/\text{SNR}$ with entangled atoms compared to the sensitivity obtained with atoms in the initial state. Figure 4(c) shows that entanglement improves the product of the $S/N$ ratio times the bandwidth $\delta_{RF}$ by a constant factor for rf pulses with $\delta_{RF}$ much greater than the inverse entanglement lifetime $(\pi T_{2E})^{-1} = 70$ Hz.
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